

RICHMOND CITY COUNCIL

FEBRUARY 18, 2020

The regular meeting of the Richmond City Council was held at the Park Community Center located at 90 South 100 West, Richmond, Utah on Tuesday, February 18, 2020. The meeting began at 6:30 P.M.; Mayor Jeffrey Young was in the Chair. The opening remarks were made by Lyle Bair.

The following Council Members were in attendance: Tucker Thatcher, Cheryl Peck, Kelly Crafts, Lyle Bair, and Terrie Wierenga.

City Engineer Darek Kimball, City Recorder Justin Lewis, and City Treasurer Christine Purser were also in attendance.

VISITORS: Sherrie Davis, Brandon Curdy

APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES FROM JANUARY 21, 2020

A motion to approve the January 21, 2020 city council meeting minutes was made by Lyle, seconded by Terrie, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

YOUTH COUNCIL REPORT

BRANDON CURDY: There are 41 members in the youth council this year. Yesterday, we designed our t-shirts for the year. There will be graphics on the front and back. Badger Screen Printing will print the shirts for us.

MAYOR: We are looking at locations throughout the city of where it is best for people to be. We are considering renting the old city office building. I know you meet there. Would the youth council have an issue meeting in this building instead of the community building?

BRANDON: I don't think so.

SHERRIE DAVIS: We need some storage.

MAYOR: How much?

SHERRIE: Maybe a closet or so. We especially need space during Black & White Days.

KELLY: Does the area need to be locked?

MAYOR: We can secure an area if needed.

SHERRIE: We just need a place to store our supplies. The stuff we have not used or reuse.

MAYOR: We will notify you well in advance if something is going to happen at the community building.

TERRIE: Do you want any information on the youth council posted on the website?

SHERRIE: I will provide the council a list of youth council members. We have a text group using an app to spread the information about our meetings. We don't want kids from other towns showing up to some of our activities.

MAYOR: That really happens?

SHERRIE: Yes. We want everyone to attend dances but not all activities. I have an app the parents seem to like using.

TERRIE: We could just post the leader information and a brief description about the youth council.

SHERRIE: We can have you post activities, as needed, as well.

KELLY: Can we just put the mayor and vice mayor on the website?

TERRIE: Are they all over 18?

CHERYL: We need parental permission to post their information.

BRANDON: I am comfortable having my information listed.

SHERRIE: Three of the leaders are already 18.

TERRIE: I will contact the other leaders and make sure they are okay having their information posted on the website.

SHERRIE: You can post Shaylee's as she is my daughter. We are excited for Black & White Days. I have the schedule ready. We are looking for some parent volunteers. Thanks for your support of the youth council.

MONTHLY FINANCIAL REVIEW WITH DISCUSSION AND DECISIONS AS NECESSARY.

JUSTIN: I have a proposed layout for the White Pine Park. The park will be this year's RAPZ Tax application project. Due to the cost, we are going to split the project into two phases. Phase 1, this year, would be to do everything but install the playground equipment. Phase 2, next year, would be to install the playground equipment. The park would include access to the playground equipment from both 300 East and 300 North. ADA access would be available from 300 North. There will also be a connecting sidewalk at the north end of the park from 300 East into the subdivision. The pond area would be a grassy area. The playground equipment would not be in the pond. Trees, shrubs, and six park benches would be installed. The total project cost for Phase 1 is \$93,897. Our RAPZ Tax application will be for \$47,000. Interestingly enough, there are 41 homes in the White Pine Subdivision, 73 in the Cherry Creek Heights PUD, and another 50 plus homes within a two-block radius of this park. A park in this area would be a big benefit to the city.

KELLY: What about the well in the park?

JUSTIN: The intent is to put a clear glass type cover or plexi-glass cover over it.

MAYOR: I saw one that included a light in the well, so people could see the original rocks holding the sides up.

TERRIE: It is a handmade well which withstood an earthquake and did not collapse.

MAYOR: I want to save the well.

TERRIE: I have seen one similar to what the mayor is describing at a park in South Dakota.

KELLY: Do we know the history of the well?

TERRIE: We know some about it. The information we got was from Vivian Christensen.

KELLY: Maybe we could include a historical marker or something.

TERRIE: It is the only one left in town I am aware of.

LYLE: There was one on the property where my shop is located. It collapsed and was filled in. Then, we developed something similar to a sinkhole, and it had to fill it in again.

TERRIE: Will we control access to the pond if there is water in it?

JEREMY: No.

MAYOR: Typically, water does not stay in there very long.

TERRIE: I am worried we are making an attractive nuisance.

DAREK: The pond is designed to drain.

JEREMY: There are two drain boxes. One on the north end, and one on the south end.

TUCKER: We can post signs telling people to stay out when water is in the pond.

TERRIE: At a minimum, we need some signage.

JEREMY: We can create any type of sign we need.

JUSTIN: The Black & White Days Committee asked us to submit a RAPZ Tax application in their behalf. They are asking for three roof fans for the Black & White Days Pavilion at a cost of \$19,808. The total project cost is \$19,808. They would not be contributing any funding to the project. We received \$32,238 in property tax revenue in January. So far this year, we have collected \$179,046 which is about 90% of our yearly total. The Utah RTP Grant in the amount of \$55,000 was received. Thanks to Jeremy for getting the application submitted. Jeremy has submitted the reimbursement request for the Utah Recreation Grant as well. We received \$3,166 from the county for our yearly ambulance allocation. We have been notified by the county this payment will discontinue across the valley moving forward. We are working on the new budget. Outside of normal expenses and our yearly equipment purchases of items such as the mini excavator, it will be a simple budget with no big projects at this point. The key fob system for this building was paid for in January. The majority of this building is now controlled by the fob system. I was contacted by Patricia Forsgren about Girls State. The committee feels the three girls who applied are worthy of attending, so we will pay for them to go. The Smithfield fire contract has been paid through the end of June. Smithfield bills us twice per year. In July, for July through December, and in January for January through June. We are now paid through June 30th. The RAPZ Tax application deadline is March 6th, so we will have our applications submitted well in advance of the deadline.

MAYOR: The fob system is very convenient. I like it. It is good for the city.

JEREMY: I agree.

MAYOR: I remember when Mayor Hall had all city buildings rekeyed because we had so many different keys. We also had to rekey everything when the community building was broken into. We vowed years ago to get a fob system installed long term. We are in the process of doing some buildings each year. In the new budget, we have included the MBR plant, maintenance shop, community building, and a few other projects. Once those are done, we are pretty close to having everything done.

JEREMY: It makes it a lot easier for the staff. Once the sheriff's office is located in this building they will have about 80 people who need fobs.

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE DECLARATION OF PARCEL NUMBER 09-066-0015 AS SURPLUS PROPERTY. THE PARCEL IS 5.11 ACRES AND LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 900 WEST MAIN STREET.

MAYOR: This is a parcel east of the MBR plant. It is about five acres in size. We are working with the county on this. We discussed several months ago selling this parcel to the county. The county is looking for a place to build a shed to store materials. We might be able to share resources as well where they bring in large quantities of product at one time. This is a two month process. We had our city attorney review the proposed sale as well as the process we need to go through to sell the parcel.

JEREMY: Tonight we are declaring whether or not to sell the property. Next month, a public hearing would be held with a 14-day or more notice. The council can then vote to sell the property or keep it. Tonight is not putting the city under any obligation. We are stating whether or not we consider the property as surplus property and want to sell it.

CHERYL: What does surplus mean in this case?

JEREMY: We are not utilizing the property and okay to sell it.

MAYOR: There will be right-of-ways and easements put in place for our headworks projects. There is a lot of infrastructure under the ground crossing this property. The county knows they cannot build in that area of the parcel. They will build away from our headworks area and the area where we could expand our sewer building in the future.

JEREMY: The land in question is not land we use and is mostly located in a ravine. It is not level. The county will have to do some work to make it level and even access the parcel. It is not flat. It is not being farmed.

MAYOR: We can still expand the MBR plant one more time going to the north. I don't like the existing entrance to the MBR plant. It is so close to the building. A vehicle, especially with a trailer, cannot get off the road to open the gate before pulling in. Snow is plowed right into the entrance as well. There will be a new pull-off created as part of this project.

TERRIE: Is there a reason they are not purchasing property to our north boundary line?

MAYOR: They only want about five acres.

JEREMY: We are only trying to sell them the minimum they need.

MAYOR: Originally, they were going to purchase to the north boundary, but they determined the width of the parcel was not wide enough to turn semi-trucks with trailers around. So they went wider on the width of the parcel and came back off the north boundary.

LYLE: What happens if this parcel hits the open market?

JEREMY: We can include a first right of refusal in the contract.

TUCKER: The County could consider selling the property down the road, and we want the first option to buy it back. This parcel is next to our biggest environmental plant in the city.

MAYOR: They don't have an issue including this in the contract.

TERRIE: Are heavily loaded trucks driving across our piping a concern?

JEREMY: They are only crossing our mainline. I am not concerned.

MAYOR: We are talking about paving all the way to the MBR plant in a joint project with them.

TERRIE: Do we have a concern with runoff or dust?

MAYOR: We have not discussed that yet.

TERRIE: I know we had issues with the fans in the roof of the MBR plant.

JEREMY: The fans blow out. They do not suck air in.

LYLE: Do we have an intake filter?

JEREMY: No.

DAREK: The County will not be accessing this parcel very much.

TERRIE: There will be dust from the loads they bring in.

LYLE: The dust won't be any worse than dust created by local farmers.

JEREMY: They will be bringing salt and other aggregate to this property.

TERRIE: Is there concern about the salt getting into our ponds?

DAREK: No, they must contain all of their storm water. Runoff is not allowed.

TERRIE: We have invested so much money in the MBR plant. I don't want it jeopardized.

DAREK: I don't see any issues with what they are proposing.

JEREMY: The only way to infiltrate our system is a broken pipe.

TERRIE: I am fine working with the County, but we need to protect the city.

JEREMY: Dust will only effect the blowers. They are serviced monthly. We just spent \$40,000 redoing them. That is my only area of concern regarding dust. They are similar to a turbo. The motors are sealed. We change the filters in the UV system on a regular basis. The blowers are the main concern in regards to dust.

DAREK: The County will make a good neighbor. They play by the rules. They are a good buffer for future expansion in the area.

TUCKER: We are a buffer for them as well. We need to make sure we have easements in place for new piping in the future.

MAYOR: We will have a new pipe coming in from the north that is not a question. We will make sure it is does not interfere with either property. We have discussed future piping and agreements.

JEREMY: The County is open to addressing any right-of-way or easement concerns we might have.

TUCKER: Is there any infrastructure we need to install before paving the area?

JEREMY: We will add some sleeves under the road, most likely, for future irrigation water lines. We will make sure we have access under the road.

TUCKER: What about the main collection line. Is it sufficient in size?

DAREK: It will have to be upsized at some point.

TUCKER: Maybe we need to install three hundred feet or so of pipe now.

DAREK: That is something which can be considered.

MAYOR: Nothing has been formalized with the county. We can include anything in the agreement that we need.

DAREK: As we expand and install new infrastructure, we will make sure we don't need a lift station.

TERRIE: What if the county says no to our requests?

JUSTIN: We walk away from the project and do nothing.

DAREK: The County has been looking for a site for a very long time. They need a material storage area.

A motion to declare Parcel Number 09-066-0015 as surplus property was made by Terrie, seconded by Cheryl, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

PUBLIC HEARING ON ORDINANCE 20-02, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 12-700 "SIGNS", PART 12-702 "TYPES OF SIGNS".

JUSTIN: Over the last year, we have made significant changes to the sign ordinances of the city. This proposed ordinance is in regards to LED light levels. The new measurement would be in footcandles which is the current industry standard. Cache Christensen, planning commission commissioner, did a lot of research on this before presenting the information to the planning commission for consideration. The planning commission approved as proposed and has sent to the council for consideration.

TERRIE: The current ordinance was written a long time ago when LED signs were new technology. This ordinance will allow for changes in technology. I am comfortable with how the ordinance is written. Most new businesses want LED signs, or they won't move in.

A motion to close the regular council meeting and open the public hearing was made by Lyle, seconded by Terrie, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

The public hearing opened at 7:21 P.M.

There were not any comments or questions.

A motion to close the public hearing and reopen the regular city council meeting was made by Lyle, seconded by Kelly, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

The public hearing closed at 7:22 P.M.

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE VOTE ON ORDINANCE 20-02.

CHERYL: What effect does this have on existing LED signs?

JEREMY: The only ones in town are Maverik, Big J's, and Lower Foods.

TUCKER: Big J's is not a problem. The Lower Foods and Maverik signs have dimming capabilities.

CHERYL: How does this effect the LED signs on their buildings?

JUSTIN: This only is in regards to standalone signs on the property.

TUCKER: This does not have anything to do with signs in a building.

JUSTIN: We are not going to go check the signs either. A complaint will need to be issued before we go check into it.

TERRIE: The tester would only be about \$40. The old tester would have been about \$1,000.

A motion to adopt Ordinance 2020-02, an Ordinance amending Chapter 12-700 "Signs", Part 12-702 "Types of Signs" was made by Tucker, seconded Kelly, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

PUBLIC HEARING ON ORDINANCE 2020-05, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 13-000 "POLICE DEPARTMENT", CHAPTER 13-200 "ANIMAL CONTROL", PART 13-211 "OFFICE OF THE POUNDMASTER CREATED" AND PART 13-212 "DUTIES OF POUNDMASTER".

JUSTIN: This is a housekeeping ordinance. We are adding verbiage that we contract with the Cache County Sheriff's Office for animal control service. Also, we discovered there was not a fine for someone who needed a kennel license and did not have one, so we added some verbiage in this regard as well.

TERRIE: Not having a kennel license would be considered an infraction, not a criminal violation.

CHERYL: Can someone get fined more than once for the same problem?

JEREMY: Yes, if they do not come into compliance.

CHERYL: Does a kennel license have to be renewed yearly?

JEREMY: Yes.

A motion to close the regular council meeting and open the public hearing was made by Lyle, seconded by Terrie, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

The public hearing opened at 7:26 P.M.

There were not any comments or questions.

A motion to close the public hearing and reopen the regular city council meeting was made by Terrie, seconded by Kelly, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

The public hearing closed at 7:27 P.M.

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE VOTE ON ORDINANCE 2020-05.

A motion to adopt Ordinance 2020-05, an Ordinance amending Title 13-000 "Police Department", Chapter 13-200 "Animal Control", Part 13-211 "Office of the Poundmaster Created" and Part 13-212 "Duties of Poundmaster" was made by Tucker, seconded by Lyle, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga
No Vote: None

PUBLIC HEARING ON ORDINANCE 2020-06, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 5-000 "COURTS", CHAPTER 5-100 "CITY COURT AND JUDGE", PART 5-101 "CREATED", PART 5-117 "FEES OF JUDGE PRO TEMPORE" AND TITLE 12-000 "LAND USE, DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT (LUDMO)", PART 12-5050 "NOTIFICATION OF PUBLIC HEARINGS".

JUSTIN: This is another housekeeping ordinance. For some reason, our court was not named in the code. It was left as a blank space. This would change that to state "Richmond City Justice Court". The amount paid to a judge pro tempore is listed as \$10 per day. We won't find a judge willing to help us for \$10 per day. The new wording states a judge pro tempore will be hired on a case by case basis. Another section which would be amended is in regards to public notices. We are no longer required to post public hearings on the Utah Public Notice Website, so this would remove that from the code.

A motion to close the regular council meeting and open the public hearing was made by Lyle, seconded by Kelly, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga
No Vote: None

The public hearing opened at 7:28 P.M.

There were not any comments or questions.

A motion to close the public hearing and reopen the regular city council meeting was made by Kelly, seconded by Lyle, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga
No Vote: None

The public hearing closed at 7:29 P.M.

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE VOTE ON ORDINANCE 2020-06.

A motion to adopt Ordinance 2020-06, an Ordinance amending Title 5-000 "Courts", Chapter 5-100 "City Court and Judge", Part 5-101 "Created", Part 5-117 "Fees of Judge Pro Tempore" and Title 12-000 "Land Use, Development and Management (LUDMO)", Part 12-505 "Notifications and Public Hearings" was made by Terrie, seconded by Cheryl, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga
No Vote: None

PUBLIC HEARING ON ORDINANCE 2020-07, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 12-000 "LAND USE, DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT (LUDMO)", CHAPTER 12-300 "DEFINITIONS", CHAPTER 12-400 "ESTABLISHMENT OF PROCEDURAL MATTERS", PART 12-405 "DECISION APPEAL PROCESS", PART 12-406 "ESTABLISHMENT OF APPEAL AUTHORITY", PART 12-410 "VARIANCES", PART 12-411 "APPLICABILITY OF THE STATE OF UTAH PROPERTY RIGHTS OMBUDSMAN", PART 12-412 "DISTRICT COURT REVIEW OF APPEAL/JUDGE/BOARD OF

ADJUSTMENT DECISION”, CHAPTER 12-500 “ADMINISTRATION”, PART 12-508 “BUILDING PERMITS”, CHAPTER 12-900 “ZONES”, PART 12-902-2 “RULES FOR LOCATING BOUNDARIES”, PART 12-906-2 “SITE DESIGN GUIDELINES/SITE PLAN REVIEW”, CHAPTER 12-1000 “OVERLAYS”, PART 12-1020-4 “PLANNED INDUSTRIAL COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL PROCESS”, PART 12-1050-6 “DEVELOPMENT PLAN PROCEDURE” AND DELETING IN ITS ENTIRETY CHAPTER 12-400 “ESTABLISHMENT AND PROCEDURAL MATTERS”, PART 12-408 “BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT”.

JUSTIN: City Attorney Seth Tait did some training with the council and planning commission earlier this month. He informed us the State of Utah got rid of a Board of Adjustment a few years ago. Our code still includes a Board of Adjustment. This ordinance would change the Board of Adjustment to an Appeal Authority. The Board of Adjustment is listed in several places in the code, and it would be replaced in every place with Appeal Authority. This ordinance would help get us in compliance with state code.

TERRIE: We used to have a Board of Adjustment. LUDMO was created, and for some reason, we did not get the Board of Adjustment taken out.

A motion to close the regular council meeting and open the public hearing was made by Terrie, seconded by Cheryl, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

The public hearing opened at 7:32 P.M.

There were not any comments or questions.

A motion to close the public hearing and reopen the regular city council meeting was made by Cheryl, seconded by Lyle, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

The public hearing closed at 7:33 P.M.

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE VOTE ON ORDINANCE 2020-07.

***A motion to adopt Ordinance 2020-07, an Ordinance amending Title 12-000 “Land Use, Development and Management (LUDMO)”, Chapter 12-300 “Definitions”, Chapter 12-400 “Establishment and Procedural Matters”, Part 12-405 “Decision Appeal Process”, Part 12-406 “Establishment of Appeal Authority”, Part 12-410 “Variances”, Part 12-411 “Applicability of the State of Utah Property Rights Ombudsman”, Part 12-412 “District Court Review of Appeal/Judge/Board of Adjustment Decision”, Chapter 12-500 “Administration”, Part 12-508 “Building Permits”, Chapter 12-900 “Zones”, Part 12-902-2 “Rules for Locating Boundaries”, Part 12-906-2 “Site Design Guidelines/Site Plan Review”, Chapter 12-1000 “Overlays”, Part 12-1020-4 “Planned Industrial Commercial Development Approval Process”, Part 12-1050-6 “Development Plan Procedure” and deleting in its entirety Chapter 12-400 “Establishment and Procedural Matters”, Part 12-408 “Board of Adjustment” was made by Terrie, seconded by Tucker, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS

TERRIE: Right now, our code requires a minimum lot size of 20,000 square feet and approval from the immediate neighbors bordering the parcel for a dog kennel to be allowed. Are we still comfortable with that? Is it something we

Richmond City Council Meeting Minutes, February 18, 2020

need to review? I have looked at what other cities are doing. Some of them have minimum square footage. Others go by the type of zone the parcel is located in.

CHERYL: Is the 20,000 square with or without the building.

TERRIE: With the building included. I am not aware of another city which requires letters from neighbors. A couple of people I know have three dogs and were not aware they needed a kennel license.

JEREMY: I have a person right now who made a request and is about 400 square feet too small.

JUSTIN: The other cities I am aware of will go as small as ¼ acre for a kennel license but not smaller.

TERRIE: I found some cities have setback and housing requirements for the actual kennel.

MAYOR: I like the idea of contacting the neighbors. I can see the reason to reduce the 20,000 square feet to something smaller.

TERRIE: I will let the residents who contacted me know we are considering making a change.

LYLE: What about four or more dogs? I think more square footage should be required the more dogs a person has.

TERRIE: Some cities have an upper limit of six adult dogs.

CHERYL: That is a lot on a ¼ acre lot.

LYLE: My neighbor has eight dogs, but they have a large lot.

TERRIE: The County has a sliding scale.

JUSTIN: Maybe consider up to three dogs up to 20,000 square feet, and four or more dogs requires 20,000 square feet or more.

MAYOR: What about indoor dogs?

JUSTIN: We cannot distinguish between indoor or outdoor dogs or the size of the dog. For our purposes, a dog is a dog no matter the size or whether it is indoor or outdoor.

MAYOR: Terrie, please draft up an ordinance for us to consider.

TUCKER: The Relic Hall was supposed to be reroofed last year. The weather turned, and the contractor could not get it done. The contractor is ready to start on the project in the next couple of weeks, weather permitting. I will meet with them and confirm what they are going to do.

LYLE: Are the Peck's doing the work?

TUCKER: Yes. I have also been asked about the 2,000 linear feet requirement for multi-family housing.

LYLE: I have had many questions on it as well.

TUCKER: Maybe it would be good to have the planning commission review to see if it needs to be changed, left the same, or eliminated.

MAYOR: I don't like the requirement.

LYLE: I have had discussions on other multi-family concerns as well.

MAYOR: I don't like the requirement. I will give you an example why. West of Maverik there is a three-plex. The location of this three-plex will stop any multi-family from being built by Lee's Marketplace as it is closer than 2,000 linear feet. It does not make sense. The idea behind the 2,000 linear feet is to avoid clustering. I think the council should discuss and review and give some recommendations to the planning commission to consider. We need to be able to discuss and let the residents know what we discussed and came up with. I want to discuss this.

TERRIE: I think it is critical. The survey which was part of the general plan stated the residents want to keep the rural atmosphere. I have a trailer court in my backyard, and there is a field which a developer would love to put multi-family housing on behind me as well. I would consider changing the linear footage, but I am opposed to removing it entirely.

TUCKER: I remember the general plan survey. A large portion of the city said they want to keep the rural feel. We might only be hearing from a few individuals with special interests.

MAYOR: I want to discuss this with no emotion. I want to review before we have a project in front of us making the request. There are questions we need to review and answer.

TERRIE: I support mixed density. Maybe we could have an overlay for high density housing to keep it spread apart.

MAYOR: I think what is bothering me is one three-plex can stop any type of building for 2,000 linear feet.

MAYOR'S REPORT

MAYOR: We had a good discussion with the sheriff's office. They are concerned about rising costs. We have had low pricing for a long time. They will be increasing their contract cost. They want to work with us on growing a substation. They want to setup an emergency office before they consider making offices. They want to create an EOC (Emergency Operation Center) in one classroom. We are the meeting area for all of the schools in the city.

Richmond City Council Meeting Minutes, February 18, 2020

This building is the designated building in the area. We will work together with them on an EOC. They will supply the furniture. We will supply a fiber internet connection and the classroom. They want to be stationed here and coordinate from here. They want to work on office space long term. I want to include some funding in the new budget in this regard.

JEREMY: We have included \$10,000 in the new budget but are waiting for them to show us some plans. We don't know the timeline of how quickly they want to move on the EOC.

MAYOR: I see working with them a lot like we do sidewalks. We do a little bit at a time over several years. It is advantageous for us to do it over a period of years. Over time, the area is improved substantially.

LYLE: We provide the building, and they supply the furniture. Who is supplying the computers and radios?

MAYOR: They are. We might supply the phone system. Anything installed in the room just for them will be their cost. The EOC will be a partnership between the city and the sheriff's office. They have a nice center in the jail. They have moveable desks. We would be considered the northern EOC. Our building would be used for the city and other local cities. The LDS Church would possibly be included in our plan. The police department and city would all work together from a central location in the event of a disaster.

LYLE: This is similar to when we used the Fire Station during a flooding event.

MAYOR: It is another reason we are considering moving Head Start into the Community Building. Our next council meeting will be held on March 17th.

KELLY: I will be out of town and not able to attend that meeting.

MAYOR: I met with the Logan City Council regarding the plastic bag ban. There is going to be an upcoming meeting to discuss plastic bags countywide. I am not championing anything. We need to discuss this issue on a countywide level. Neither side will get what they want on this issue. I don't think plastic bags can be banned across the board. This Thursday night is the State of the City address at 7:30 P.M. I don't expect it to be long. I will be discussing what is happening in the city and what we have been talking about at the last few council meetings. I invite all of you to attend if you can make it.

A motion to adjourn and pay bills was made by Lyle, seconded by Kelly, and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Thatcher, Peck, Crafts, Bair, Wierenga

No Vote: None

Adjournment at 7:54 P.M.

RICHMOND CITY CORPORATION

Jeffrey D. Young, Mayor

ATTEST:

Justin B. Lewis, City Recorder